CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD

1st FLOOR COMMISSION CHAMBER FORT LAUDERDALE CITY HALL 100 NORTH ANDREWS AVENUE JUNE 28, 2016

9:00 A.M.

Cumula	ative	atte	ndance
2/2016	thro	ugh	1/2017

		Electo unough heoti		
Board Members	<u>Attendance</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>	
Patrick McGee, Chair	Р	5	0	
Mark Booth, Vice Chair	Р	1	0	
Joan Hinton	P	5	0	
Lakhi Mohnani [until 12:24]	Р	5	0	
Peter Cooper	Р	1	0	
Alternates:				
Michael Madfis	Р	3	2	
Joshua Miron	Α	0	5	
Robert Smith	Р	4	1	

Staff Present

Bruce Jolly, Board Attorney
Rhonda Hasan, Assistant City Attorney
Yvette Ketor, Clerk III
Yvette Cross-Spencer, Clerk III
Veronica Beal, Service Clerk
Tasha Williams, Administrative Aide
Dorian Koloian, Clerk III
Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector
Jose Abin, Building Inspector
Robert Masula, Building Inspector
George Oliva, Chief Building Inspector
Jamie Opperlee, Prototype Inc., Recording Secretary

Communication to the City Commission

The Board would like the City Commission to appoint more permanent board members.

Respondents and Witnesses

CE15072274: Maria Stevenazzi, property manager

CE14121358: Vanessa Aguero, owner CE15072597: Catherine Banta, owner CE15092128: Roberto Villanueva, owner

CE15011800; CE16021479: Courtney Crush, attorney

CE15040668: Michael Man, general manager

CE16021212: Eldar Cohen, agent

CE15040555: Garo Gallo, tenant; Stephen Catoloo, tenant's representative

CE16020557: Michael Schumann, owner CE16040447: Andrew Letourneau, owner

CE14072166: Abeer Hasan, owner

CE15101515: Carmen Nunez, owner's spouse

CE16010691; CE15071515; CE16010689; CE16010692: Philip Callobre maintenance

manager

CE14090494: Heather Blake, owner

CE15081055: Kirk Layne, owner's brother CE15101733: Mark Seramur, representative CE14110272: Theodore Berch, representative

CE15011568: Andrew Holland, owner CE15121428: Edward Gordon, owner CE08070448: Claire Clark, representative

CE16050876; CE15080173: Dwayne Dickerson, attorney; Peter Sobota attorney

CE15092059; CE15102342; CE15082281: Eric Martinez, general contractor

CE15051584: Jeb Shafer, general contractor

CE15051829: Tyler Tuchow, owner CE15101042: Andrew Truesdale, owner CE15090546: Thomas Lanigan owner

CE14081054: Arthur Bartholomew, manager

CE15010467: Bibiana Sarmiento, property manager

CE16021379: Herman Goldszlager, owner

CE15122078; CE15122079: Michael Lowe, contractor

CE13080252: George Costanza, representative; Michael Sedra, tenant

CE15102509: Michael Sedra, tenant

CE15010862: Fernando Fernandes, owner's brother

CE16030173: Max Sebastiani, owner CE15111767: Sherry Dezonia, owner CE15061690: Arvin Bielen, owner CE16030692: Jacqueline Hill, owner CE14100834: Vincent Graham, owner CE15062080: Annemise Charles, owner CE16050869: Michael Speciale, owner

CE14111191: Marco Tipago, tenant; Allen Ripley Bosworth, attorney

CE15091850: Daniel Plasencia, attorney

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m.

Individuals wishing to speak on any of the cases on today's agenda were sworn in.

Case: CE08070448

1431 NW 11 PL DRAGOSLAVIC, GORAN DRAGOSLAVIC, TERESA

This case was first heard on 6/24/14 to comply by 8/26/14. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

George Oliva, Chief Building Inspector, reported all permits had been renewed and recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15080173 2070 NW 29 AVE RHA 2 LLC

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 5/24/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported the air conditioner permit application was out for corrections and recommended a 56-day extension.

Dwayne Dickerson, attorney, agreed with the extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE16050876</u> 1523 NW 10 AVE RHA 2 LLC

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/10/16.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.11

THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WORK REQUIRES A MECHANICAL PERMIT AND INSPECTIONS:

- 1. INSTALLED SPLIT MECHANICAL AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM.
- 2. INSTALLED AIR HANDLER.
- 3. INSTALLED DUCT SYSTEM (NO MECHANICAL IN PERMIT HISTORY).

FBC(2014) 110.6

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

NEC(2005) 110.27

THERE ARE EXPOSED CONNECTIONS PRESENTING A LIFE AND SAFETY HAZARD. ONE OF THE EXPOSED CONNECTIONS IS ARCING AND HAS MELTED ONE OF THE LEGS ON A SERVICE LINE COMING FROM THE POWER SUPPLY. THERE ARE MINOR CHILDREN LIVING ON THIS PROPERTY THAT PLAY IN THE YARD ADJACENT TO THE LIVE ELECTRICAL CONNECTIONS.

NEC(2005) 408.38

THE FOLLOWING DEFICIENCIES ARE EXISTING ON THIS PROPERTY:

1. LOOSE AND DAMAGED DEAD FRONT COVERS ON ELECTRICAL BOXES.

Inspector Abin presented photos of the property and the Case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Dwayne Dickerson, attorney, said the entire property was being renovated and described work already done. He confirmed the property would remain vacant until the violations were in compliance.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Mohnani, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE13080252

2500 E COMMERCIAL BLVD
ALTO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LLC

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 2/23/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported there had been no permit activity. Michael Sedra, tenant, said they had hired a new architect two weeks ago and requested a four-week extension.

Inspector Masula stated he was unaware of any plans and he had not spoken to this tenant or a design professional. Mr. Sedra stated he also had a contractor of record. Inspector Masula suggested the application be submitted immediately.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed.

Case: CE15102509

2500 E COMMERCIAL BLVD ALTO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LLC

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 2/23/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit and sub-permit applications were in review and recommended a 28-day extension.

George Costanza, tenant, confirmed the applications had been submitted.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15011800 229 S FTL BEACH BLVD EL-AD FL BEACH CR LLC

This case was first heard on 7/28/15 to comply by 10/27/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and fines had accrued to \$1.620.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the awning permit application had been picked up for corrections on 5/25/16 and had not been resubmitted. He explained the plans had been back and forth in plan review because there was a discrepancy regarding the property lines and right-of-way, which would determine the need for additional approvals. The owner's attorney would meet with Zoning to try to resolve the matter.

Courtney Crush, attorney, said she would meet with Zoning because there was a misunderstanding regarding private property and/or right-of-way. She said this was a replacement awning on private property. She requested 28 days.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE16021479
527 N BIRCH RD
BEACH HOUSE VILLAS LLC

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/9/16.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: 47-20.20.1.

1. SEAL COATING AND RESTRIPING PARKING AREA.

FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS BUILDING/FACILITY HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

1. SEAL COATING AND RESTRIPING PARKING AREA.

FBC(2014) 110.6

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

Inspector Abin presented photos of the property and the Case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Mr. Mohnani argued that the Florida Building Code did not cover resurfacing and restriping parking areas; a zoning permit was required. Inspector Oliva said they had researched the Florida Building Code and determined FBC (2014)105.1 did apply to a parking lot. He stated a zoning permit was needed, but building inspectors must inspect the work at commercial properties to assure compliance with ADA requirements. Inspector Oliva said 105.1 did not apply to residential properties.

Mr. Jolly read from the statute, which referred to "building structure or any part thereof" and "facility therein or thereon" but Mr. Mohnani noted that a parking structure was not a building structure. Mr. Jolly felt this language "covers everything."

Courtney Crush, attorney, said it was not clear that resurfacing and restriping required a permit. She stated the parking area was not a structure, per Mr. Jolly's reading of the statute. If it was the City's position that a permit was needed, the owner would comply going forward.

Mr. McGee felt the Board was "not in a position to take up something that's so arbitrary." Ms. Hasan said the City could withdraw the case and provide the Board with all the Building and Zoning sections. She explained the City must verify compliance with ADA rules for commercial parking areas.

Mr. Mohnani said items not regulated by the Florida Building Code included resurfacing, restriping and sealcoating of a parking lot.

The City withdrew the case.

<u>Case: CE15051829</u> 1804 NW 16 CT TUCHOW, TYLER

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 3/22/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the permit application had failed several reviews and notice to pick up the plans for revisions had been sent on 6/23/16. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Tyler Tuchow, owner, requested more than 56 days because the contractor and the City were taking a long time. He asked for 91 days.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. Motion died for lack of a second.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed.

<u>Case: CE15090546</u> 1829 SW 11 ST LANIGAN, THOMAS P

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported permit applications had been submitted and recommended a 91-day extension.

Thomas Lanigan, owner, felt the work would be finished within 91 day.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE16021379 2149 NE 56 PL GOLDSZLAGER, HERMAN

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit and electrical and plumbing sub-permits had been issued and the driveway permit application had been submitted. He recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE14090494 1205 NE 3 AV BLAKE, HEATHER

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported no permit applications had been submitted for the bathroom remodeling.

Heather Blake, owner, said her insurance adjuster was working on it. She explained there had been mold in the bathroom and it had been removed. Mr. Blake stated she was waiting for a grant from the City.

Inspector DelRio said the tile in the shower wall had been removed, indicating remodeling. Ms. Hasan stated the Board had already found the violation existed as cited.

Inspector DelRio said an electrical outlet had been left behind the tile when the bathroom was remodeled and people were being shocked in the shower. He said a permit was needed to finish the bathroom.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15040555

810 NE 4 AV R W L 4 INC.

This case was first heard on 7/28/15 to comply by 8/25/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

George Oliva, Chief Building Inspector, reported FBC(2010) 105.1 was in compliance and drawings to comply FBC(2010) 111.1.1 regarding the change of use had been submitted the previous day. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Garo Gallo, tenant, said they were doing everything they could to comply.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15092128</u> 216 NW 8 AVE VILLANUEVA, ROBERTO

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$5,600 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and did not recommend any extension.

Roberto Villanueva, owner, said he had been out of work since December and had no money to put into the house. He added that \$10,000 worth of materials had been stolen from the site. He had started back to work approximately one month ago.

Mr. McGee stressed to the importance of owners communicating with the Building Inspectors.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Mr. Cooper, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Ms. Hinton opposed.

<u>Case: CE14111191</u> 5955 NW 31 AVE # A LAKEVIEW PLAZA INC.

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 2/23/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported permits had approved by plan review on 6/19. He recommended a 56-day extension for inspections.

Allen Ripley Bosworth, attorney, said the landlord had evicted the tenant who had performed the work and the current tenant was removing the work.

Marco Tipago, current tenant, confirmed they were working to correct the violations.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15102548</u> 200 S BIRCH RD # 209 FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSN

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 2/23/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master and plumbing permits were active and the electrical permit was closed. He said he was working with the contractor regarding the mechanical issue and recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15101733</u> 1216 CHATEAU PARK DR S R SINGH ENTERPRISES LLC

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and he did not support any extension.

Mark Seramur, representative, said the owner did not speak English well. Mr. Seramur reported he had hired an engineer and a general contractor and they were moving forward. He asked for additional time.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15091850 200 S BIRCH RD # 1011 FRENI MEHTA REV TR MEHTA, FRENI TRUSTEE

This case was first heard on 10/27/15 to comply by 11/24/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit was in plan review and recommended a 91-day extension.

Daniel Plasencia, attorney, supported the extension recommendation.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15051584</u> 1621 NE 20 AV PIEKARSKI, JASON

This case was first heard on 9/22/15 to comply by 11/24/15. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress.

Jeb Shafer, general contractor, said the citation did not accurately reflect what was going on at the property. He stated the kitchen and bathroom work had been done by a prior owner. Ms. Hasan stated the current owner was responsible for the violations now. Mr. Shafer stated he was working with the structural plans examiner to resolve issues with the application. He confirmed the permit application was in review. Inspector DelRio recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE14121358</u> 111 FLORIDA AVE AGUERO, VANESSA

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, said the permit had been renewed and recommended a 210-day extension.

Vanessa Aguero, owner, agreed to the extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Mohnani, to grant a 210-day extension to 1/24/17, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15111767 2801 NE 38 ST DEZONIA, SHERRY K SHERRY K DEZONIA 2008 TR

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the permit application had failed review and recommended a 56-day extension.

Sherry Dezonia, owner, said the contractor was working on it.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE14072166</u> 907 NW 12 TER HASAN, ABEER

This case was first heard on 6/23/15 to comply by 7/28/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

George Oliva, Chief Building Inspector, reported the permits had been issued and recommended a 91-day extension.

Abeer Hasan, owner, said the only thing remaining was final inspection, which was scheduled for the following day. She noted discrepancies between the inspections and the notifications on the City website. Inspector Oliva stated Ms. Hasan needed to call for final inspections.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE14110272 1311 SEMINOLE DR DANIELSSON, LEIF

This case was first heard on 3/24/15 to comply by 5/26/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the permit application had failed zoning review four times because the Tiki hut was sited within the setbacks.

Theodore Berch, representative, said the Tiki hut footprint was being reduced to avoid encroaching into the setbacks.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15101515</u> 1107 NW 11 ST FACUNDO, RICARDO

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no process and did not support any extension.

Inspector DelRio acted as interpreter for Ms. Nunez.

Carmen Nunez, the owner's spouse, explained that they had purchased the house with these problems and they had just received the funds to address the violations. She requested 30 days to apply for a permit.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Mr. Cooper, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15072274

107 NE 17 AVE
PROMOTRADE USA INC.

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 5/24/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported the permit had been issued on 6/21/16 and recommended a 147-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 147-day extension to 11/22/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15061690 3341 NE 42 CT BIELEN, ARVIN N

This case was first heard on 10/27/15 to comply by 2/23/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, said there was one shed and the deck remaining on the property but the owner had promised to remove them. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Arvin Bielen, owner, discussed the problem with removing the deck because there was a steep drop in the rear yard. He requested 90 days. Inspector Abin said he would agree to a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15101042 1815 SW 4 ST TRUESDALE, ANDREW

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and did not support any extension.

Andrew Truesdale, owner, thought after his previous appearance before the Board that if he paid for the permit he could keep the porch but had subsequently learned there were additional issues. He said the electrical and plumbing had been removed and he intended to remove the porch himself.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15040668</u> 619 N FTL BEACH BLVD SEA CLUB OCEAN RESORT HOTEL INC.

This case was first heard on 5/26/15 to comply by 8/25/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the permit had been reissued and recommended a 147-day extension.

Michael Man, general manager, stated they needed a letter from the engineer.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Mohnani, to grant a 147-day extension to 11/22/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15011568</u> 1348 SW 30 ST HOLLAND, ANDREW & TABITHA

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$2,400 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, said the metal posts had been approved for the gate replacement. He recommended a 152-day extension.

Andrew Holland, owner, apologized for his behavior at the last hearing and said he had received the approval for the posts from the City.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Mohnani, to grant a 147-day extension to 11/22/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to amend the 4/26/16 Order comply-by date from 5/26/16 to 6/28/16, removing the accrued fines. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15010467</u> 1951 NE 51 ST RODELU LLC

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 5/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported two permits had been renewed and two applications were out for corrections. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Bibiana Sarmiento, property manager, agreed to the extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15122078

2360 NW 20 ST

REYNOLDS, JOENATHAN C

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported the permit applications had been picked up for corrections and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15122079 2374 NW 20 ST REYNOLDS, JOENATHAN C

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15081055</u> 1208 NW 19 AV GREEN, LINDA

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 1/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported permit applications had been submitted and recommended a 56-dy extension.

Kirk Layne, the owner's brother, stated the plans were in process and requested a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15071515 1135 NW 7 AV TIITF/HRS-YOUTH SERV BROWARD CHILDRENS SHELTER

This case was first heard on 9/22/15 to comply by 10/27/15. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$4,800 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and recommended imposition of the fines.

Philip Callobre, maintenance manager, said they were vacating the premises by the end of the month. He stated they were not willing to incur the \$10,000 cost of replacing the outlets.

Ms. Hasan explained the City was in appeal litigation with the State and they had agreed that the current use would be discontinued at the end of the month. Until all of the children were removed from the facility, the City would move forward with the case.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani to grant a 28-day extension. Motion died for lack of a second.

Mr. Callobre stated he felt that tamper-proof outlets would have been sufficient but the City had wanted arc-fault outlets, which were for industrial settings. Inspector Abin said the City's electrical plan reviewer had determined that the arc fault outlets were needed.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Mr. Cooper, to find that the violations were not complied by the ordered date, and to impose the \$4,800 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed.

Case: CE16010689
1135 NW 7 AV
TIITF/HRS-YOUTH SERV
BROWARD CHILDRENS SHELTER

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and the owner's representative had indicated they did not intend to comply. He recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find that the violations were not in compliance by the ordered date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed.

Case: CE16010691 1133 NW 7 AV TIITF/HRS-YOUTH SERV BROWARD CHILDRENS SHELTER

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and the owner did not intend to comply. He recommended imposition of the fines.

Philip Callobre, maintenance manager, said they would not comply the breezeway violations.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find that the violations were not in compliance by the ordered date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed.

Case: CE16010692
1141 NW 7 AV
TIITF/HRS-YOUTH SERV
BROWARD CHILDRENS SHELTER

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and the owner's representative had indicated they would not act to comply the violations. He recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find that the violations were not in compliance by the ordered date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed.

Case: CE15062080 4881 NW 9 TER CHARLES, ANNEMISE

This case was first heard on 10/27/15 to comply by 2/23/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and he did not recommend any extension.

Annemise Charles, owner, requested an extension, saying she had found an architect to help her resolve the problem.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Mr. Booth, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15092059 1544 NW 9 AVE KDE OF FL 1 LLC

This case was first heard on 10/27/15 to comply by 1/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the master permit application had failed plan review and notification to pick up the package for revisions had been sent on 6/23/16. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Eric Martinez, general contractor, agreed to the extension.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Mr. Booth to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15082281
2400 E OAKLAND PARK BLVD
SP4 INVESTMENTS LLC

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 3/22/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master and sub permit applications were awaiting pickup for corrections and recommended a 56-day extension.

Eric Martinez, contractor, agreed to the extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE15102342 1761 NW 26 TER AVIMAR TR MARAVI LLC TRSTEE

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

George Oliva, Chief Building Inspector, said the permit had been ready since 4/26/16 and recommended 28-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE14100834
3601 SW 2 ST
GRAHAM, VINCENT M & JACQUELINE

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported permits applications were in review and recommended a 56-day extension.

Vincent Graham, owner, agreed to the extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE14081054
1834 LAUD MANORS DR
WSC BRICKELL LLC

This case was first heard on 2/24/15 to comply by 4/28/15. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been progress and recommended a 56-day extension. He noted that some of the applications were over 60 days old and were now void.

Arthur Bartholomew, manager, said they were about to do the air conditioning and roof work, which should be completed within one month.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE16040447 900 SW 24 AVE DACA MANAGEMENT LLC

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/9/16.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- 1. NEW WOOD COLUMNS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.
- 2. NEW FASCIA AND SUB-FASCIA HAS BEEN INSTALLED.
- ROOF DECK OVER FRONT PORCH HAS BEEN REBUILT.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.10

THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WORK REQUIRES A ROOF PERMIT AND INSPECTIONS:

- 1. NEW ROOF TILES HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.
- 2. NEW ROOF OVER FRONT PORCH.
- 3. ADDED 2 SKYLIGHTS.
- 4. FLAT ROOF HAS BEEN RE-ROOFED.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.15

THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WORK REQUIRES A DOOR AND WINDOW PERMIT AND INSPECTIONS:

- 1. NEW WINDOWS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.
- 2. NEW DOORS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.4

THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WORK REQUIRES A PLUMBING PERMIT AND INSPECTIONS:

- 1. NEW PLUMBING FIXTURES HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.
- 2. A WASTE LINE HAS BEEN INSTALLED THAT IS NOT CONNECTED TO THE SANITARY SYSTEM.
- 3. WATER HEATER HAS BEEN INSTALLED.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.5

THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WORK REQUIRES AN ELECTRICAL PERMIT AND INSPECTIONS:

1. EXTERIOR PREMISE WIRING HAS BEEN INSTALLED WITHOUT A PERMIT.

FBC(2014) 110.2

BUILDING OFFICIAL AND/OR REPRESENTATIVE HEREBY RESERVE THE AUTHORITY TO SITE INSPECTIONS PRIOR TO THE APPROVAL AND ISSUANCE OF ALL AND ANY PERMITS AND/OR DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE.

FBC(2014) 110.6

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

Inspector Abin presented photos of the property and the Case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Andrew Letourneau, owner, said they had inherited the violations and stated the City's website indicated on 4/4/16 that the old cases were complied and someone on the phone had verified this the following day. On 4/8/16, the same violations appeared

under a new case. He had been told by Inspector Oliva that he could not rely on the information on the City's website to be accurate.

Ms. Hasan said Mr. Letourneau should have relied on the certified lien statement which showed the violation existed. She explained that when the City found out a property changed hands, old cases were closed and new cases were opened. Ms. Hasan pointed out that the State of Florida Division of Corporations showed the entity that now owned the property to be administratively dissolved as of six years ago.

Mr. Letourneau requested more than 56 days.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed.

Case: CE15121428 1425 NW 24 AV GORDON, EDWARD E & HARBIN, MARY A

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/16/16.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation: FBC(2014) 105.1

I RECEIVED A CALL ON 12/16/15 ABOUT A FENCE BEING INSTALLED WITHOUT A PERMIT. I DID A SITE VISIT ON 12/17/15 POSTED STOP WORK ORDER AND TOOK PICTURES. A WOOD FENCE WAS IN THE PROCESS OF BEING INSTALLED. NO PERMIT COULD BE FOUND IN RECORDS. ON 3/10/16 WHILE POSTING THE NOV ON SITE FOR THE WOOD FENCE I FOUND THE WOOD FENCE HAD BEEN COMPLETED AND A CHAIN LINK FENCE HAS BEEN INSTALLED ACROSS THE FRONT OF THE PROPERTY WITHOUT A PERMIT.

Inspector Abin presented a letter into the record in which the owner alleged he had been defrauded by a contractor. Inspector Abin presented photos of the property and the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day.

Edward Gordon, owner, stated his son had begun installing a fence without a permit. Mr. Gordon had hired a contractor to install the fence, unaware that the contractor was

not licensed and had not pulled a permit. He had hired a new contractor, who he assumed would pull a permit, but apparently he had not paid the permit fee.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$25 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed.

<u>Case: CE16021212</u> 712 SW 15 AVE RIVERSIDE FLATS LLC

Certified mail addressed to the registered agent was accepted on 6/9/16.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS PROPERTY HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

1. ROOF COVERING REPLACEMENT.

FBC(2014) 110.6

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

Inspector DelRio presented photos of the property and the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Eldar Cohen, agent, said his father had done the work and he would submit permit applications that day.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Mr. Smith, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE16030173</u> 2744 E COMMERCIAL BLVD WILSHIRE REALTY LLC

Service was via posting at the property on 6/14/16 and at City Hall on 6/16/16.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS PROPERTY HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

- 1. ALTERATIONS MADE SUCH AS FRAMING AND DRYWALL WITHOUT THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS.
- 2. THE BUSINESS HAS ALSO BEEN CONVERTED FROM A BEAUTY SALON TO AN OFFICE SPACE WITHOUT THE REQUIRED CHANGE OF USE.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.5

THIS PROPERTY HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

1. ELECTRICAL ALTERATIONS AND THE INSTALLATION OF A PANEL WITHOUT THE REQUIRED ELECTRICAL PERMIT AND/OR INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2014) 107.1.1

BECAUSE OF THE COMPLEXITY OF THE UNPERMITTED WORK BEING DONE, SEVERITY, LIFE SAFETY ISSUES AND POTENTIAL LIABILITY TO THE SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND COMMUNITY PROFESSIONAL DRAWINGS PREPARED BY AN ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER WILL BE REQUIRED TO ADDRESS EACH VIOLATION AND THE PROPER METHOD TO WHICH THE VIOLATIONS ARE TO BE CORRECTED.

FBC(2014) 109.3.3

THE PROPERTY OWNER WILL BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, PASS THE A.T.F. PLAN REVIEW AND PAY DOUBLE PERMIT FEES THAT MAY APPLY.

FBC(2014) 110.6

SCHEDULE AND PASS ALL REQUIRED INSPECTIONS AND PROPERLY CLOSE ALL REQUIRED PERMITS BEFORE THIS CODE CASE IS FULLY COMPLIED AND CLOSED.

Inspector Masula presented photos of the property and the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Max Sebastiani, owner, said he was working with the tenant to resolve the violations. He said the new tenant had installed a wall for two French doors and capped two sink outlets.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE16020557 812 SW 15 AV SCHUMANN, MICHAEL

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/13/16.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ILLEGALLY ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK ILLEGALLY PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- 1. INTERIOR REMODEL OF INTERIOR LIVING AREAS.
- 2. REMODELED KITCHEN.
- 3. REMODELED BATHROOM AND TILED WET AREAS.
- 4. INSTALLED GATE AT FRONT ENTRY DOOR.
- 5. WOOD DECK AT BACK YARD.
- 6. PERMANENT CANOPY ATTACHED TO WALL AT FRONT WINDOW.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.4

THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WORK REQUIRES A PLUMBING PERMIT AND INSPECTIONS:

- 1. REPLACED KITCHEN SINK WITH FIXTURES.
- 2. REPLACED BATHROOM VANITIES
- 3. REPLACED BATHROOM FIXTURES FOR SINKS AND SHOWERS.
- 4. REPLACED TOILETS.
- 5. NEW SPRINKLER MANIFOLD.
- 6. NEW WASTE LINES AND CLEANOUTS

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.5

THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WORK REQUIRES AN ELECTRICAL PERMIT AND INSPECTIONS:

- 1. REPLACED KITCHEN FIXTURES.
- 2. REPLACED BATHROOM FIXTURES.
- 3. REPLACED OUTLETS, GFI'S AND SWITCHES.
- 4. REPLACED LIGHTING FIXTURES.

FBC(2014) 110.6

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

Inspector Abin presented photos of the property and the Case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Michael Schumann, owner, said he had not owned the building when the violations were cited and he had been unsuccessful with a claim against his title insurance. He added that seven years ago, when the house was bought by the previous owner, the same violations had been cited. Mr. Schumann stated a lien search on January 21 indicated there were no open claims on the property. He did not feel he should be responsible for rectifying the situation and he did not currently have the finances and the time to address the violations.

Inspector Abin stated he had not been inside the house, but he had seen the violations from outside the house. He had additional photos from the website where the house had been offered for sale. He informed the Board that there were no permits in the home's history for the work that had been done.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 91 days, by 9/27/16, or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Mohnani opposed

Case: CE16050869
5100 BAYVIEW DR # 106
SPECIALE, MICHAEL P &
SPECIALE, SUSANNE

Service was via posting at the property on 6/10/16 and at City Hall on 6/16/16.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS CONDO UNITS' MASTER BATHROOM HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

1. ALTERATIONS MADE SUCH AS DEMOLITION, FRAMING AND DRYWALL WITHOUT THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.4

THIS CONDO UNITS' MASTER BATHROOM HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

1. PLUMBING ALTERATIONS MADE WITHOUT THE REQUIRED PLUMBING PERMIT AND/OR INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.5

THIS CONDO UNITS' MASTER BATHROOM HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

1. ELECTRICAL ALTERATIONS MADE WITHOUT THE REQUIRED ELECTRICAL PERMIT AND/OR INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.11

THIS CONDO UNITS' MASTER BATHROOM HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

 MECHANICAL ALTERATIONS MADE WITHOUT THE REQUIRED MECHANICAL PERMIT AND/OR INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2014) 109.3.3

THE CONDO UNIT OWNER WILL BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, PASS THE A.T.F. PLAN REVIEW AND PAY DOUBLE PERMIT FEES OR POSSIBLY FOUR TIMES THE FEE UNDER SECTION 9-47 THAT WILL APPLY.

FBC(2014) 110.6

SCHEDULE AND PASS ALL REQUIRED INSPECTIONS AND PROPERLY CLOSE ALL REQUIRED PERMITS BEFORE THIS CODE CASE IS FULLY COMPLIED AND CLOSED.

Inspector Masula stated the case was begun pursuant to a complaint and a Stop Work Order had been posted on the property. He presented photos of the property and the Case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Michael Speciale, owner, said he was remediating a mold problem at the property and there were two insurance companies involved. Mr. Speciale stated he had hired a contractor to pull the permits.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 91 days, by 9/27/16, or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE16030692 3473 RIVERLAND RD CORMBD LLC

Certified mail addressed to the owner had been accepted on 6/10/16.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

VIOLATIONS: FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS PROPERTY HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- 1. WINDOWS AND DOOR REPLACEMENT.
- 2. DOOR OPENING CLOSED OFF.
- 3. KITCHEN AND BATHROOMS RENOVATIONS.

FBC(2014) 110.6

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

Inspector DelRio presented photos of the property and the Case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Jacqueline Hill, owner, stated the windows and door had been broken when they moved into the home and her father had replaced them immediately. She said a permit expediter was supposed to be pulling an after-the-fact permit for the windows and doors. She requested 56 days.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

<u>Case: CE15010862</u> 2679 MARATHON LN DA ROSA, JOSE SIMOES

This case was first heard on 9/22/16 to comply by 11/24/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the owner had still not submitted the survey as promised at the previous meeting. Inspector Abin did not support any further extensions.

Fernando Fernandes, the owner's brother, said he had submitted the survey earlier in the day.

Motion made by Mr. Mohnani, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

The Board took a brief break and Mr. Mohnani left the meeting.

<u>Case: CE15111102</u> 3221 SW 20 ST BEAULY LLC

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/10/16.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation: FBC(2014) 105.1

A CENTRAL A/C SYSTEM HAS BEEN INSTALLED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT.

Inspector Abin presented photos of the property and the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$50 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE16021843 1420 NE 15 AV BORRAS, ALEXANDRA L

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/9/16.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

1. BUILDING A WOOD FRAME CARPORT ONTO EXISTING DRIVEWAY.

FBC(2014) 110.6

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

Inspector Abin presented photos of the property and the Case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15031682</u> 1732 SW 2 ST

DOWNING, JASON

Service was via posting at the property on 6/15/16 and at City Hall on 6/16/16.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2010) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS:

1. THE ROOF THAT WAS BUILT OR THE BREEZEWAY
BETWEEN THE GARAGE AND THE MAIN BUILDING IS NOT
PART OF THE ISSUED PERMIT FOR THE REROOF. IT IS WORK
OUT OF THE SCOPE OF THE ISSUED PERMIT# 14010350 FOR
REROOF 2200 SF SHINGLE TO METAL AS PER BCPA
PICTURES AND COMPLAINT.

FBC(2010) 110.9

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Abin presented photos of the property and the Case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE13051997
1515 NW 7 AVE
YUTHASUNTHORN, CHANCE
YUTHASUNTHORN, SIRILUK

This case was first heard on 8/25/15 to comply by 9/22/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the window and electrical permits had been issued and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Cooper, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 8/23/16, or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE14050728 2840 NE 25 ST CLEMENTE, DANIELA VALENTI

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 1/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and fines had accrued to \$6,800.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the dock permit application had been resubmitted with corrections on 6/16/16 and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton to amend the 2/23/16 Order comply-by date from 3/22/16 to 4/26/16, removing the accrued fines. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE14071684</u> 1608 SW 10 CT SOFREI LLC

This case was first heard on 1/27/15 to comply by 3/24/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the master permit and sub-permits had been issued and recommended a 210-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15031679</u> 1501 NW 19 AVE GRANT FLA LLC

This case was first heard on 8/25/15 to comply by 11/24/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the mechanical permit application was out for corrections and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15070731</u> 1119 NW 10 PL ADAMS MEM LLC

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 2/23/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the master permit application had failed review and the owner was sent notification to pick it up for corrections on 3/24/16. The application had not been resubmitted for over 60 days so it was null and void. He did not support any extension.

The Board took no action.

Case: CE15070098 5200 NW 31 AVE VILLAS AT LAKEVIEW CONDO ASSN INC. This case was first heard on 2/23/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the owner had been notified to pick up the irrigation system permit application for corrections on 6/24/16 and Inspector Abin needed to reinspect to confirm the fence violation had been removed. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15070738

1301 NE 2 AV SETTON, JOHN

This case was first heard on 10/27/15 to comply by 1/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.15 was the only remaining violation. He recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15070923

216 ROSE DR

CECERE, LEONARD & MARY M

This case was first heard on 10/27/15 to comply by 1/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the carport enclosure permit application had been submitted and recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15072597

215 SW 19 AV

ROBERTSON PARK APARTMENTS LLC

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the mechanical permit had been issued on 4/28/16 and recommended a 147-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 147-day extension to 11/22/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15121837 828 NW 14 WY LAZCO HOLDING GROUP

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and he did not support any extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15090899</u> 1425 SW 10 ST 2015-3 IH2 BORROWER LP

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 3/22/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the permit had been issued on 3/31/16 and recommended a 119-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 119-day extension to 10/25/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15081360 621 SE 5 CT

BLUEWATER INC.

This case was first heard on 2/23/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and he did not support any extension.

Ms. Hasan reminded the Board that the owner had an agreement with the City to move forward with pulling permits for the interior renovations. Since that hearing, City

representatives had met with the contractor and his attorney and the contractor had withdrawn from the agreement, stated they had done no unpermitted work and would not work to comply the violations.

The Board took no action.

<u>Case: CE15101560</u> 3121 SW 20 CT BARNETTE, KYLE W

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the master permit application had failed review and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15082061</u> 86 ISLE OF VENICE SANTIAGO'S HOUSE LLC

This case was first heard on 2/23/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported the deck permit application had failed review and notice to the owner to pick it up for corrections had been sent on 6/8/16. The plumbing permit application had not been submitted yet. Inspector Abin recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15080572
1201 NE 5 AV
EM INVESTMENT REV TR
MILITZOK & LEVY PA TRSTEE

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 2/22/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was in compliance, fines had accrued to \$3,400 and the City was requesting no fine be imposed.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, recommended no fine be imposed.

Motion made by Mr. Booth, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to impose no fine. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15082095</u> 2308 NW 26 ST WALKER, MAURICE

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 3/22/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$4,000 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to find that the violations were not complied by the ordered date, and to impose the \$4,000 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15092363</u> 104 SE 11 AVE PIKUTA, MARIA

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 5/26/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Cooper, to find that the violations were not in compliance by the ordered date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15082209</u> 724 NW 17 ST SHUTTS, JASON ALBERT

This case was first heard on 1/26/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City

was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to find that the violations were not in compliance by the ordered date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15101425

640 TENNIS CLUB DR # 308 RUBINSTEIN, GARY

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 5/24/16. Violations and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$12,800 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Jose Abin, Building Inspector, reported permit applications had been submitted on 6/3/16 and recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE16050534

2900 NE 30 ST

LAUDERDALE TOWER CONDO ASSN INC.

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/9/16.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 107.1.1

BECAUSE OF THE COMPLEXITY OF THE REQUIRED WORK TO BE DONE, SEVERITY, LIFE SAFETY ISSUES AND POTENTIAL LIABILITY TO THE SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND COMMUNITY PROFESSIONAL DRAWINGS PREPARED BY AN ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER WILL BE REQUIRED TO ADDRESS EACH VIOLATION AND THE PROPER METHOD TO WHICH THE VIOLATIONS ARE TO BE CORRECTED.

FBC(2014) 110.6

THE PROPERTY OWNER WILL BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, PASS THE A.T.F./CODE CASE PLAN REVIEW AND PAY DOUBLE PERMIT FEES THAT MAY APPLY. SCHEDULE AND PASS ALL REQUIRED INSPECTIONS AND PROPERLY CLOSE ALL REQUIRED PERMITS BEFORE THIS CODE CASE IS FULLY COMPLIED AND CLOSED.

FBC(2014) 116.2.1.2.2

THE BUILDING HAS AREAS WHERE THERE IS CONCRETE DETERIORATION WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO BALCONY RAILINGS FAILING WHICH HAS CREATED AN UNSAFE CONDITION FOR THE BUILDING AND POSES POTENTIAL LIFE SAFETY ISSUES FOR THE OCCUPANTS OF THIS BUILDING.

Inspector Masula presented photos of the property and the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Mr. McGee wished to pressure the owner to take immediate action regarding the life safety issues. Inspector Masula said the managers were aware of the violations and had agreed to find a concrete restoration company to address the problems. Mr. McGee suggested contacting the Fire Marshal and Ms. Hasan stated the Fire Marshal or Building Official had the authority to preclude use of the balcony until it was repaired. She agreed to contact the Fire Marshal and Building Official.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 7/26/16, or a fine of \$100 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE16050574</u> 2500 NE 48 LA # 509 KEBE, STANLEY W

Certified mail addressed to the owner was accepted on 6/9/16.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations: FBC(2014) 105.1

THIS CONDO UNIT HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

1. ALTERATIONS MADE SUCH AS FRAMING, DRYWALL, REMODELING THE KITCHEN AND TWO BATHROOMS WITHOUT THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.4

THIS CONDO UNIT HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

1. PLUMBING ALTERATIONS MADE WITHOUT THE REQUIRED PLUMBING PERMIT AND/OR INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2014) 105.3.1.4.5

THIS CONDO UNIT HAS BEEN ALTERED WHICH INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

1. ELECTRICAL ALTERATIONS MADE WITHOUT THE REQUIRED ELECTRICAL PERMIT AND/OR INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2014) 109.3.3

THE CONDO UNIT OWNER WILL BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, PASS THE A.T.F. PLAN REVIEW AND PAY DOUBLE PERMIT FEES THAT WILL APPLY.

FBC(2014) 110.6

SCHEDULE AND PASS ALL REQUIRED INSPECTIONS AND PROPERLY CLOSE ALL REQUIRED PERMITS BEFORE THIS CASE IS FULLY COMPLIED AND CLOSED.

Inspector Masula stated the case was begun pursuant to a complaint. He presented photos of the property and the case file into evidence and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 7/26/16, or a fine of \$50 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15011944 609 NE 8 AV

ELITE HOME PARTNERS LLC

This case was first heard on 6/23/15 to comply by 7/28/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and fines had accrued to \$6,800.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit had been issued and the plumbing permit was ready to be issued. The roof, fence and shutter permit applications had all failed plan review. Inspector Masula stated he had spoken with a representative approximately 30 days ago.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15041506 928 NE 20 AVE NE 20 AVE PROPERTIES LLC

This case was first heard on 6/23/15 to comply by 8/25/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the awning permit had been issued 4/15/16. He recommended a 147-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 147-day extension to 11/22/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15091319
307 NE 23 AV
WALTER A CROWELL TR
CROWELL, WALTER A TRUSTEE

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 1/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the mater permit and sub-permits were issued and active. He recommended a 210-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 210-day extension to 1/24/17, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15092005
1800 N ANDREWS AVE # 05K
LUTHY, HEDY
A & H LUTHY REV LIV TR ET AL

This case was first heard on 10/27/15 to comply by 11/24/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit and sub-permits were ready to be issued. He recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15092254 1214 NE 5 TER CARNES ,RICK H FILLERS, MARK W

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit and sub-permits were issued and active. He recommended a 210-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Booth, to grant a 210-day extension to 1/24/17, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15102449</u> 2500 LUCILLE DR KLAIRMONT, LARRY

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 1/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the dock and electrical permits were closed and the boat lift permit was pending plan review. He recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE14060058 2500 LUCILLE DR KLAIRMONT, LARRY

This case was first heard on 6/23/15 to comply by 7/28/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the pool heater permits were closed and the generator permit was pending plan review. He recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15120123 5870 NE 22 AV KONISKI, ANTOINE ALAZEMI, FAHED A M D

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the permit application was in plan review and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Booth, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE16020432 1651 SW 27 TER DESCORBETH, WIDMAYER

This case was first heard on 5/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the patio slab and electrical permits had been issued and recommended a 210-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Booth, to grant a 210-day extension to 1/24/17, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE16030521 5100 DUPONT BLVD # 2A MORRISON, JUDITH P

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master and sub-permit had been issued and recommended a 210-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Mr. Cooper, to grant a 210-day extension to 1/24/17, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15102069</u> 1220 NE 3 ST # 103 SWEENEY, THOMAS A & GLORIA & SWEENEY, MICHAEL

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of an \$8,000 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to find that the violations were not complied by the ordered date, and to impose the \$8,000 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15120539</u> 3020 SEVILLE ST 3020 SEVILLE PROPERTIES LLC

This case was first heard on 2/23/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of an \$11,200 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit application had been resubmitted on 6/24/16 and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15120540</u> 3024 SEVILLE ST 3020 SEVILLE PROPERTIES LLC

This case was first heard on 2/23/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of an \$11,200 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit application was pending plan review and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE14061177</u> 3505 SW 12 CT MCFARLANE, CHRISTINE

This case was first heard on 2/24/15 to comply by 4/28/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported the permits had been issued and recommended a 119-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 119-day extension to 10/25/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE14120486 1633 SW 9 AV BERRY, TIMOTHY FOSTER, ANDREW L

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress since January and did not support any extension.

The Board took no action.

Case: CE15050401
1820 NE 17 WAY
FLORIDA CONFERENCE ASSN OF
SEVENTH DAY ADVENTISTS

This case was first heard on 4/26/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported the metal structure permit had been issued on 4/28/16 and the paver permit needed corrections. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15071410

2427 NE 8 ST JAMES, GORDON D & JUSTINE

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 5/24/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported the contractor had indicated they were working on drawings. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15101205</u> 1150 NW 18 AV BROWN, ANNIKO

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 6/28/16. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported the fence permit had been issued on 6/20/16 and recommended a 147-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 147-day extension to 11/22/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15042036 3209 NE 36 ST # 4B MIGA, PATRICIA ANN T & MIGA, STEVEN J

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 1/26/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$6,400 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and recommended imposition of the fine.

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Mr. Booth, to find that the violations were not complied by the ordered date, and to impose the \$6,400 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE15091538 4840 NW 9 TER DEGARCIA, VICTORIA M & DUARTE, GERMAN G

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 5/24/16. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Alejandro DelRio, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Booth, to find that the violations were not in compliance by the ordered date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 6/29/16 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE14071821 1070 NW 25 AV LANDERS, MARIE H/E TAYLOR, MARTHA

This case was first heard on 1/27/15 to comply by 2/24/15 and 3/24/15, amended to 4/28/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the permit applications were out for corrections and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE14110356 2612 BARBARA DR MONDANI, GLEN H/E MONDANI, PENTELOPE

This case was first heard on 2/24/15 to comply by 4/28/15. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported work was in progress and recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15041350</u> 1162 NW 9 TER MERSAN HOLDINGS LLC

This case was first heard on 11/24/15 to comply by 2/23/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the permits were ready to be issued and recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15110196</u> 1701 NW 14 CT 2771 LLC

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 4/26/16. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the fence permit application was out for corrections and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 56-day extension to 8/23/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15090051</u> 1216 NE 2 ST MAUS, ARTHUR J & KAREN N

This case was first heard on 9/22/15 to comply by 11/24/15. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$1,500 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported the master permit required final inspection and recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to grant a 91-day extension to 9/27/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE15102260</u> 1312 NW 15 ST WILCOX, ALICIA

This case was first heard on 3/22/16 to comply by 5/24/16. Violations and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$3,400 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Robert Masula, Building Inspector, reported there had been no permit activity and recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Cooper, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

<u>Case: CE13100827</u> 1609 NW 11 ST SCOTT, DONALD

This case was first heard on 11/26/13 to comply by 1/28/14. Violations, extensions and notice were as noted in the agenda. The property was not in compliance and the City was requesting imposition of a \$3,400 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property was in compliance.

Robert Masula Building Inspector, recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Cooper, to grant a 28-day extension to 7/26/16, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Minutes Approval

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Smith, to approve the minutes of the Board's March meeting. In a voice vote, motion passed unanimously.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to approve the minutes of the Board's April meeting. In a voice vote, motion passed unanimously.

Election of Officers

Motion made by Mr. Madfis, seconded by Ms. Hinton, to elect Mr. McGee as Chair. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Motion made by Ms. Hinton, seconded by Mr. Madfis, to elect Mr. Booth as Vice Chair. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-0.

Cases Complied

The below listed cases were complied. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record.

CE15090113 CE15060308 CE15051252 CE14011733

Cases Withdrawn

The below listed cases were withdrawn. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record. CE16020923 CE15040962 CE16011781

Board Discussion

None.

Communication to the City Commission

The Board would like the City Commission to please appoint more permanent board members.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 2:16 p.m.

	Chair, Code Enforcement Board	
ATTEST:		

Clerk, Code Enforcement Board

NOTE: The agenda associated with this meeting is incorporated into this record by reference.

Any written public comments made 48 hours prior to the meeting regarding items discussed during the proceedings have been attached hereto.

Minutes prepared by: Jamie Opperlee, ProtoType Inc.